

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,412	09/899,412 07/05/2001		Neal R. Cutler	CUTLER-06326	3297	
23535	7590	06/19/2002				
MEDLEN &		•	EXAMINER			
101 HOWARD STREET SUITE 350				JOYNES, RO	JOYNES, ROBERT M	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105		CA 94105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1615	1	
				DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	J	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>					
· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/899,412	CUTLER, NEAL R.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert M. Joynes	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 F	ebruary 2002 .	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) \square Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 110	(a)_(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 65 6.6.6. § 176	(a) (a) or (i).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have heen received						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		ition No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/899,412

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's Declaration filed on February 25, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caruso (US 6043244). Caruso teaches a method treating migraines wherein dyhyroergotamine is administered with an antimigraine-potentiating amount of an NMDA receptor antagonist (Col. 3, lines 14-58). All modes of administration are contemplated by Caruso (Col. 6, lines 3-67; Col. 7, lines 1-31)). Specifically, sublingual administration is taught in the form of a tablet, drop or lozenge (Col. 6, lines 25-28). Sprays and pastes or gels are also taught by Caruso (Col. 6, lines 30-35, 63-65). The oral tablets further comprise additives such as calcium carbonate, calcium phosphate or kaolin (Col. 6, lines 18-24). Additional active agents may be added to the composition (Col. 8, lines 12-27). Caruso recites DHE and its pharmaceutically acceptable salts (Col. 3, lines 14-40). It is the position of the Examiner that any form of DHE, the salt or the base would be acceptable for the formulation of Caruso. Therefore, Caruso teaches all the limitations of the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,412

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caruso. The teachings of Caruso are discussed above. Caruso does not specifically teach the base of DHE or that the formulations are fast dissolve formulations.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use any form of DHE is a method of treating migraines. It would also be obvious from the teachings of Caruso to formulate any method of delivering the DHE to a host, including fast dissolve formulations.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to provide a method treating migraines that is effective and achieves the effect in a short amount of time to bring quick and direct relief to the host.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Joynes whose telephone number is (703) 308-8869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 - 5:00.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/899,412

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Robert M. Joynes Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 June 17, 2002

> THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600